



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/041,944	10/22/2001	William H. Gilmore	KCX-288 (14271)	4923
75	90 03/18/2004		EXAM	INER
John E. Vick, Jr.			NGUYEN, JOHN QUOC	
Dority & Manni	ing, Attorneys at Law, P	.A.		
P.O. Box 1449			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Greenville, SC 29602			3654	
		DATE MAILED: 03/18/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/041,944	GILMORE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	John Q. Nguyen	3654
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Fe</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-22 is/are pending in the appear of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-22 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	r (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D	



Art Unit: 3654

Claims 1, 4, 5, 8-11,13-17, 19, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Butterworth et al (US 5226611).

Note suctions means 78 and 80, interference device/severing roll 34, channel 32, and "transfer pad" which contains suctions means 78 and 80.

Claims 2,3,6,12,20, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Butterworth et al (US 5226611).

Air knives and water knives are old and well known in the art and official notice is taken of such; therefore, the alternative use of either of them would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person having ordinary skill in the art based on factors such as preference, design criteria, space optimization, and costs. Servo motors are also old and well known in the art and official notice is also taken of such; therefore, the alternative use of a servo motor to direct the movement of the severing means would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person having ordinary skill in the art based on factors such as preference, design criteria, space optimization, and costs.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Butterworth et al (US 5226611) in view of Perini (US 4487377).

Perini discloses another similar apparatus and teaches removing the suction force from the web to release the web. It would have been obvious to a person having



Art Unit: 3654

ordinary skill in the art to remove the suction force in the apparatus of Butterworth et al (instead of just reducing it) to more effectively release the web.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 9, 14, 15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection necessitated by the new limitations in those claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-2689. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Katherine Matecki, can be reached on (703) 308-2688. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4177.

John Q. Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3654